Annex of the PBO Report of the European Center for Not-for-Profit Law

1. Organizational data

Name:	ECNL Európai Nonprofit Jogi Központ Szolgáltató Nonprofit Korlátolt Felelősségű Társaság
Seat:	1052 Budapest, Apáczai Csere János u. 17. I. em.
Registration number:	01-09-921425
Name of representative:	Varga Judit, Manager of the Company Katerina-Hadzi-Miceva-Evans, Executive Director

2. Description of exact mission-related public benefit activities in the current year

For this section, please see separate narrative report.

3. Introduction of the public benefit activity (per activities)

For this section, please see separate narrative report.

Statement of non-reimbursable funding to perform public benefit activity

				in thousand	HUF
Description of donors	Supported objectives	Amount of support		Difference	
		Previous year	Current year	%	thousand HUF
Funding received from founder	Protection of fundamental rights, supporting civil society development and public participation on the local and national level, and in international development cooperation	29.031	24,867	-14.3%	-4,164
	Protection of fundamental rights, supporting civil society development and public participation on the local and national level, and in international				
Amount awarded by tenders	development cooperation	60,006	98,817	64.7%	38,811
Total:		89,037	123,684	38.9%	34,647

Statement of funding awarded by tenders

In thousand HUF

	Description of donors	Supported objectives				
			Funding accounted for		Funding transferred in the current year	
			Previous year	Current year	Previous year	Current year
	Total		55,306	99,101	67,304	80,241
1	Funding received from central governmental budget		0	0		
1.	central governmental buuget		U	U	-	-
	Funding received from local					
2.	governmental budget		0	0	-	-
	International funding		(0.00)	00 101	(7.204	00.041
3. 3.1	received Project partners	Reimbursement of travel costs	60,006 729	99,101 284	67,304 729	80,241
3.1	Project partiers	Reimbursement of travel costs	129	284	129	284
		Preparation and adoption of policy documents regulating public participation and cooperation				
	United Nations Office for	between Parliament, government and CSOs,				
3.2	Project Services (UNOPS)	supporting local-level participation in Iraq	20,005	28,787	20,005	28,786
		Strengthening and promoting the role of CSOs in				
		reform processes and democratic transition through				
3.3	Civil Society Institute	improved participation in the implementation of European Neighborhood priorities.	1,532	1,533	6,041	-
			,			
		Strengthening Moldovan CSOs by improving				
		advocacy capacities and promoting coopeartion	0.001	27.022	0.001	10.050
.34	FHI Development 360, LLC	between CSOs and the government.	9,881	27,932	9,881	18,868
		A				
		Assessment of intersectoral social contracting practices and optional models in Bulgaria to improve				
3.5	BCNL	financial sustainability.	788	1,708	-	1,708
		Improving freedom of association and the overall				
.3.6	EU Belarus	legal framework for CSOs in Belarus to protect fundamental human rights.	6,062	4,968	7,387	2,478
.3.0	EO Belalus	fundamentar human rights.	0,002	4,908	7,307	2,478
		Promoting and ensuring safeguards for freedom of				
		association and assembly with regards to the				
3.7	OSF	implementation of counter-terrorism measures.	9,773	26,521	15,256	18,517
		Improving enabling environment for CSOs in Armenia, creating mechanisms that improve				
3.8	TIA Transparency	transparency and accountability.	-	5,884		6,559
		Research on the contribution of international				
3.9	CEE Bankwatch Network	financial institutions to better human rights conditions.		1,484		1,484
5.7	CDD Dunkwaten retwork	essentions.	-	1,404		1,404
		Creation of a matrix and related toolkit for				
	Balkan Civil Society	monitoring enabling environment for civil society				
3.10	Democracy Network BCSDN	developemnt.	6536	-	4,334	1,557
		Supporting the creation of strong and sustainable				
		CSOs in Macedonia, that can successfully mobilize local resources and can enter in a dialogue with the				
.3.11	IPA Macedonia	private sector.	4700	-	3,671	-
	4. Funding received from					
	other source		-	-		

5. Statement of designated funding

Description of designated funding	Previous year	Current year
Designated funding provided by the organization	6.920	14.806
6. Allowance for the executive officers		
Position	Previous year	Current year
In 2014 and 2015 the organization did not provide separate allowances for the executive officers		
Salaries paid for the executive officers		
	10.254	22116

7. Indicators determining public benefit status

Calculation of indicators determining public benefit status

Demonstration of adequate resources

1. Average annual revenue

Criterion met: yes

Data in thousand HUF

	FY 2014	FY 2015	Average annual revenue
Annual revenue	100 780	145 092	122 936

Ascertainment: the annual revenue of the organization exceeds 1 million HUF as specified in subsection (4)a) of Section 32. of the *Law on Freedom of Association, on Public Benefit Status and on the Activities of and Support for Civil Society Organizations* (hereinafter: Law on CSOs). The organization complied with the conditions as set forth in the law both in

financial years 2014 and 2015.

2. Two-year aggregate after tax result

Criterion met: yes

	FY 2014	FY 2015	Two-year aggregate
After tax financial result	-	-	-

Ascertainment: the organization's two-year aggregate after tax financial result is not a negative figure, as specified by Subsection (4)b) of Section 32. of the Law on CSOs.

The organization complied with the conditions as set forth in the law both in financial years 2014 and 2015.

3. Ratio of personnel expenses

Criterion met: in 2014: yes in 2015: no

	FY 2014	FY 2015
Personnel expenses	41 846	55 624
Allowances for executive officers -	10 254	22 116

Modified personnel expenses	31 592	33 508
Total expenses	100 780	145 092
Quarter of total expenses	25 195	36 273

Ascertainment: personnel expenses amount to one-fourth of total expenses in financial year 2014, but not in financial year 2015.

Subsection (4)b) of Section 32. of the Law on CSOs.

Conclusion: Organizations need to meet one out of the three criteria above in order to comply with public benefit requirements.

The corresponding indicators of adequate resources comply with public benefit conditions in three cases in financial year 2014 and in two cases in financial year 2015.

The organization has adequate resources both in financial years 2014 and 2015.

Demonstration of adequate public support

Data in thousand HUF

1. Ratio of 1% of personal income tax designation received

	FY 2014	FY 2015
Total revenue	100 780	145 092
Amount disbursed from central governmental budget	-	-
Corrected income	100 780	145 092
	100,000	115 092
2 % of corrected income	2 016	2 902
1% of personal income tax		
designation received	-	-

Ascertainment: 1% designation received does not amount to 2% of total revenue, the organization does not comply with conditions as set forth in Subsection (5)a) of Section 32. of the Law on CSOs.

2. Ratio of public benefit costs and expenses

Criterion met: yes

Criterion met: no

	FY 2014	FY 2015	Two-year average
Total expenditures	100 780	145 092	
	100 /00	115 0)2	
Half of total expenditures	50 390	72 546	61 468
Public benefit expenditures	100 780	145 092	122 936

Ascertainment: costs and expenses incurred for the public benefit activity in financial years 2014 and 2015 reach the half of total expenses in the average of two years; i.e., the organization complies with conditions specified in Subsection (5)b) of Section 32. of the Law on CSOs in both financial years.

3. Number of volunteers: 0 Criterion met: no

Ascertainment: As per Subsection (5)c) of Section 32. of the Law on CSOs an organization can also meet the requirements of public benefit status if at least 10 volunteers contribute to its activities. The organization did not employ volunteers either in financial year 2014 or in 2015.

Conclusion:

Organizations need to meet one out of the three criteria above in order to comply with public benefit requirements. The corresponding indicators of public support comply with public benefit conditions in one case both in financial years 2014 and 2015.

The organization has adequate public support both in financial years 2014 and 2015.

In conclusion, based on data and indicators from financial years 2014 and 2014 the organization complies with public benefit conditions specified in the Law on CSOs, as it has sufficient resources and enjoys adequate public support.

Budapest, 7 April 2016.

Head (Representative) of the organization